

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/002,987	11/30/2001	Feng Dai	CS00-197/199	3473
28112 75	590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE			PERKINS, PAMELA E	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/002,987	DAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pamela E Perkins	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s) <u>8-25</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the state of t	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in the filing of the amendment on 26 January 2004. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. (6,037,018) in view of Sahota (5,923,993).

Jang et al. disclose a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a oxide layer (20) is deposit on a semiconductor substrate (10); silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on the oxide layer (20); etching a plurality of isolation trenches (28) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) into the semiconductor substrate (10); forming a liner layer (36) in the isolation trenches (28); depositing an oxide layer (50) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) and within the isolation trenches (24) using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDP-CVD); etching away the oxide layer (50) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) and then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24), whereby the oxide layer (36) within the isolation trenches (28) is disconnected from the oxide layer (50) overlying the etch stop layer (24) (fig. 2A). Jang et al. further disclose the

silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) having a thickness between 1000 and 2000 Angstroms (col. 4, line 48 thru col. 8, line 56). Jang et al. do not disclose narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches.

Sahota discloses a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a silicon nitride etch stop layer (103) in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on to a semiconductor substrate (101); etching a plurality of isolation trenches (401) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (103) into the semiconductor substrate (101, whereby narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate (101) are left between the isolation trenches (401); depositing an oxide layer (1201) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (103) and within the isolation trenches using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDP-CVD); etching away the oxide layer (1201) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (103) and then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (103) (col. 3, lines 14-40).

Since Jang et al. and Sahota are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions, the purpose disclosed by Sahota would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Jang et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jang et al. by narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches as taught by Sahota to produce isolations regions usable for fabrication of microelectronic circuit devices (abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,987

Art Unit: 2822

Referring to claim 3, Jang et al. the silicon nitride etch stop layer of claim 1 wherein the silicon nitride etch stop layer may have a thickness between 1000 and 2000 Angstroms (col. 4, lines 32-35). It is noted that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentrations or any unexpected results arising there from. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the thickness of the silicon nitride etch stop layer to have a thickness between 1500 and 2500 Angstroms since it has been held that "In such an situation, the applicant must show that the particular range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range." In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990) See MPEP § 2144.05.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. in view of Sahota as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Fu et al. (6,426,272).

Jang et al. in view of Sahota disclose the subject matter claimed above except removing the silicon etch stop layer using a hot phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) dip.

Fu et al. disclose a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a oxide layer (12) is deposit on a semiconductor substrate (10); silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on the oxide layer (12); etching a plurality of isolation trenches (25) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) into the semiconductor substrate (10); forming a liner layer (30) in the isolation trenches (25); depositing an oxide layer (40) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) and within the isolation trenches (25) using a high density plasma chemical vapor

A # 11=:t: 2022

Art Unit: 2822

deposition (HDP-CVD); etching away the oxide layer (40) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) and then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) using a hot phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) dip (col. 2, line 51 thru col. 3, line 50).

Since Jang et al. and Fu et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions, the purpose disclosed by Fu et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Jang et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jang et al. by removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer using a hot phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) dip as taught by Fu et al. to reduce defects (col. 1, lines 36-43).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. in view of Sahota as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Hao et a. (6,403,483).

Jang et al. in view of Sahota disclose the subject matter claimed above except fabricating semiconductor device structures on the semiconductor substrate between the isolation trenches.

Hao et al. disclose a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where an oxide layer (120) is formed on a semiconductor substrate (100), forming a silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) over the oxide layer (120), using a chemical vapor process (CVD); etching an isolation trench (220) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) and the oxide layer (120) into the semiconductor substrate (100); lining the isolation trench with an oxide (300); depositing an oxide layer (400) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) and within the isolation trench (220) using a high density plasma (HDP); etching

Application/Control Number: 10/002,987

Art Unit: 2822

away the oxide layer (400) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160), then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) and further fabricating semiconductor device structures (710, 730) on the semiconductor substrate (100) between the isolation trench (220). Hao et al. further disclose the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) having a thickness between 2000 and 4000 Angstroms (col. 3, lines 1-51).

Since Jang et al. and Hao et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions, the purpose disclosed by Hao et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Jang et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jang et al. by fabricating semiconductor device structures on the semiconductor substrate between the isolation trenches as taught by Hao et al. to prevent gaps in the isolation structures (col. 2, lines 1 and 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-25 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art does not anticipate, teach, or suggest a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a first silicon nitride etch stop layer in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on to a semiconductor substrate; etching a plurality of isolation trenches through the first silicon nitride etch stop layer into the semiconductor substrate, whereby narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches; depositing an oxide layer over the first

silicon nitride etch stop layer and within the isolation trenches using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDP-CVD); depositing a second silicon nitride etch stop layer over the oxide layer, removing the second silicon nitride etch stop layer overlying the wide active areas, etching away the oxide layer overlying the first silicon nitride etch stop layer, the removing the first silicon nitride etch stop layer and the second silicon nitride etch stop layer, wherein the etch stop layer and oxide residues are removed without using a polishing process to complete planarized the shallow trench isolation regions.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments; see the paper filed on 26 January 2004, with respect to claims 16-22 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 16-22 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed on 26 January 2004, regarding claims 1-7 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As stated above, Jang et al. in view of Sahota disclose the method of forming shallow trench isolation regions in the manufacture of an integrated circuit device as described in claim 1.

In response to the applicant's arguments, the applicant argues prior art does not teach removing the etch stop layer and oxide residues without a polishing process.

However, claim 1 does not disclose how the etch stop layer and oxide residues are removed. Applicant also argues prior art does not teach the oxide layer within the isolation trenches being disconnected from the oxide layer overlying the etch stop layer.

However, Jang et al. does disclose the oxide layer within the isolation trenches being disconnected from the oxide layer overlying the etch stop layer (fig. 2A).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela E Perkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,987 Page 9

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PEP

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800